arXiv:1205.5049v1 [math.SP] 22 May 2012

SPECTRAL ASYMPTOTICS FOR PERTURBED SPHERICAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS AND APPLICATIONS TO QUANTUM SCATTERING

ALEKSEY KOSTENKO AND GERALD TESCHL

Dedicated with great pleasure to Israel Samoilovich Kac on the occasion of his 85th birthday.

Abstract. We find the high energy asymptotics for the singular Weyl–Titchmarsh m-functions and the associated spectral measures of perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators (also known as Bessel operators). We apply this result to establish an improved local Borg–Marchenko theorem for Bessel operators as well as uniqueness theorems for the radial quantum scattering problem with nontrivial angular momentum.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will investigate perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators (also known as Bessel operators)

$$\tau = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x), \quad l \ge -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}_+ := (0,+\infty), \tag{1.1}$$

where the potential q is real-valued satisfying

$$q \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+), \qquad \begin{cases} x\, q(x) \in L^1(0,1), & l > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ x(1-\log(x))q(x) \in L^1(0,1), & l = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Note that we explicitly allow non-integer values of l such that we also cover the case of arbitrary space dimension $n \ge 2$, where $l(l+1)$ has to be replaced by $l(l+n-2)+(n-1)(n-3)/4$ [38, Sec. 17.F], or the case of scattering of waves and particles in conical domains [5]. Due to its physical importance this equation has obtained much attention in the past and we refer for example to [1], [2], [18], [28], [32], [36], [38] and the references therein.

Of course one of the most interesting applications is the scattering problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a spherically symmetric potential. Originating in the seminal work of Heisenberg, the question if the potential q is determined by the scattering phase for one fixed value of the angular moment l was investigated by several authors. In the simplest case $l = 0$ this question is completely understood by now and we refer, e.g, to the monographs [4], [11], or [34]. However, for general l this question remained open to the best of our knowledge. As one of our main results we will show that,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34B20, 34L25; Secondary 34L05, 47A10.

Key words and phrases. Schrödinger operators, spectral theory, strongly singular potentials, scattering theory.

Research supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. Y330 and No. M1309.

in combination with the eigenvalues and norming constants, the scattering phase determines the potential uniquely.

Our approach will be based on the Jost function [20]. Basic properties of the Jost function in the case of general l were first formulated in [26]. Asymptotics for the Jost solutions and the Jost functions are well-known and were investigated by several authors [6], [16], [15]. Moreover, a scattering approach between the unperturbed operators for $l = 0$ and $l \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ was recently introduced in [2].

Our approach will use singular Weyl–Titchmarsh–Kodaira theory as one basic ingredient. This approach was first used by Kodaira [26] in connection with radial scattering theory as already mentioned above. This original work contained some gaps first pointed out by Kac [21] who proposed an alternate approach based on Krein's method of directing functionals. However, these results did not get much attention until recently when Gesztesy and Zinshenko [17] took it up again and triggered a large amount of results [8], [9], [12], [13], [14], [28], [29], [30], [31].

Finally, the outline of our paper is as follows: We will first establish the high energy asymptotics of the singular Weyl function and the spectral measure associated with operators of the type (1.1). Then we will use this result in order to improve some inverse spectral uniqueness results for such operators from [8] and [28]. Finally, we will apply our finding to the quantum mechanical scattering problem.

2. Asymptotics of the Weyl function

We will use τ to describe the formal differential expression and H to describe the self-adjoint operator acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and given by τ together with the usual boundary condition at $x = 0$:

$$\lim_{x\to 0} x^l((l+1)f(x) - xf'(x)) = 0, \qquad l \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}). \tag{2.1}$$

We are mainly interested in the case where τ is limit point at ∞, but if it is not, we simply choose another boundary condition there. Moreover, one could even replace $\mathbb{R}_+$ by a bounded interval $(0, b)$.

Next, notice that our assumption (1.2) implies that the equation

$$\tau y = zy \tag{2.2}$$

has an entire system of solutions $\phi(z, x)$ and $\theta(z, x)$ such that

$$\phi(z,x) = x^{l+1}\tilde{\phi}(z,x), \quad \theta(z,x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^{-l}}{2l+1}\tilde{\theta}(z,x), & l > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ -x^{1/2}\log(x)\tilde{\theta}(z,x), & l = -\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\theta} \in W^{1,1}[0,1]$ and $\tilde{\phi}(0) = \tilde{\theta}(0) = 1$ (see, e.g., [31]). *The singular Weyl function* $m : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined such that

$$\psi(z,x) = \theta(z,x) + m(z)\phi(z,x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.4}$$

either belongs to $L^2(c, b)$ for some (and hence for all) $c \in (0, b)$ if H is limit point at b, or satisfies the boundary condition at $x = b$ if H is limit circle at b. Note that, while the first solution $\phi(z, x)$ is unique under this normalization, the second solution $\theta(z, x)$ is not, since for any entire $f(z)$ the new solution $\tilde{\theta}(z, x) = \theta(z, x) - f(z)\phi(z, x)$ also satisfies (2.3). Note that the corresponding singular m-function $\tilde{m}$ is given by

$$\tilde{m}(z) = m(z) + f(z) \tag{2.5}$$

in this case. Moreover, it was shown in [28], [31] that the singular m-function (2.4) admits the following integral representation

$$m(z) = g(z) + (1+z^2)^{\kappa_l} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\frac{1}{z-\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2} \Big) \frac{d\rho(\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\kappa_l}}, \quad z \notin \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here $\kappa_l := \lfloor \frac{l}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \rfloor$, the function g is real entire, and $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function satisfying

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{\rho(\lambda+) + \rho(\lambda-)}{2}, \quad \rho(0) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\rho(\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\kappa_l+1}} < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

The function ρ is called *the spectral function* and $d\rho$ is *the spectral measure*. We also remark that the value of κ_l is best possible (see [31] for further details).

In the special case $l = 0$ the function m is the classical m-function and Marchenko [33] proved that in any nonreal sector in $\mathbb{C}_+$ the m-function satisfies

$$m(z) = -\sqrt{-z}(1 + o(1)), \quad |z| \to +\infty, \tag{2.8}$$

where the branch cut of the root is taken along the negative real axis. The estimate for the remainder term was later improved by Krein, Levitan, and Marchenko (see, e.g., [34], [37]). By now there is a vast literature on high energy asymptotics for m-functions of general Sturm–Liouville operators and it seems the first results were obtained by M.G. Krein and I.S. Kac [22, 23, 24], Hille [19], Everitt [10] and Kasahara [25]. The most complete results on one-term asymptotics for the m-functions were obtained by Bennewitz [3] (cf. also our Appendix A).

In the present paper we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the singular m-function (2.4) of perturbed Bessel operators. Our main result is the following extension of Marchenko's asymptotic formula (2.8).

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose*

$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x), \tag{2.9}$$

where q satisfies (1.2). *Let $m(\cdot)$ be the singular m-function* (2.4). *Then there is a real entire function g such that in any nonreal sector*

$$m(z) - g(z) = m_l(z)(1 + o(1)), \quad |z| \to +\infty, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$m_l(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{-C_l^2}{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\pi)} (-z)^{l+\frac{1}{2}}, & l + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{N}_0, \\ \frac{-C_l^2}{\pi} z^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \log(-z), & l + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{cases} \qquad C_l = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(l+\frac{3}{2}) 2^{l+1}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, the spectral function satisfies

$$\rho(\lambda) = \rho_l(\lambda)(1 + o(1)), \quad \lambda \to +\infty, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\rho_l(\lambda) = \frac{C_l^2}{\pi(l+\frac{3}{2})} \chi_{[0,\infty)}(\lambda) \lambda^{l+\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad l \geq -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Our proof relies on two main ingredients: The one-term asymptotic formula for the m-function (Theorem A.2) and the commutation methods for Bessel operators [30]. It will be given in Section 3, where we will use a Liouville type transform to establish a connection between the perturbed Bessel operators and the Krein string operators $-\frac{d^2}{r(\xi)d\xi^2}$. In Section 4, we apply Theorem 2.1 to derive an improved local

Borg–Marchenko result for Bessel operators. In the final section 5, we apply our results to establish uniqueness for the quantum scattering problem.

Necessary results on the asymptotic behavior of m-functions of regular Sturm–Liouville problems are collected in Appendix A. In Appendix B, we provide some estimates and analytical properties of the solutions $\phi(z,x)$ and $\psi(z,x)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

First, let us note that it suffices to prove Theorem 2.1 in the case when $x=b$ is a regular endpoint. In fact, by [28, Lemma 7.1], we know

$$m(z) = -\frac{\theta(z,c)}{\phi(z,c)} + O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-z}\phi^2(z,c)}), \tag{3.1}$$

as $|z|\to+\infty$ in any nonreal sector. Hence the asymptotic behavior of m depends only on the behavior of q near 0 and is independent of the behavior of q outside a neighborhood of 0 as well as of a possible boundary condition at b.

Therefore, without loss of generality we set $b=1$ and assume that $q\in L^1(c,1)$ for some $c\in(0,1)$. We divide the proof into three steps.

3.1. Connection with the Krein string operator.

In this section we restrict our considerations to the case when $x=0$ is in the limit circle case, that is $l\in[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$. It is well-known that under this assumption the self-adjoint operator H associated with τ is lower semibounded. However, instead of the boundary condition (2.1) induced by ϕ we will now use the one induced by $\theta(\lambda_0)$ plus an arbitrary boundary condition at $x=1$,

$$\lim_{x\to0} W_x(f,\theta(\lambda_0)) = 0, \quad \cos(\beta)f(1)-\sin(\beta)f'(1)=0. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $\lambda_0\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\beta\in[0,\pi)$ are fixed, and we can assume that $\theta(z,x)$ satisfies $W(\theta(\lambda_0),\theta(z))=0$ (cf. [29, Appendix A]). In this case $\theta(z,x)$ continues to satisfy (2.3).

The singular Weyl function is then defined such that

$$\psi(z,x)=\phi(z,x)-\tilde{m}(z)\theta(z,x) \tag{3.3}$$

satisfies the boundary condition at $x=1$. In this case $\tilde{m}$ becomes the classical m-function (see, e.g., [29, Appendix A]) and hence it will be a Herglotz–Nevanlinna function satisfying

$$\tilde{m}(z) = \mathrm{Re}(\tilde{m}(\mathrm{i})) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda-z} - \frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2}\right) d\rho(\lambda), \tag{3.4}$$

where the spectral measure $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\tilde{\rho}(\lambda)=\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{d\tilde{\rho}(\lambda)}{1+\lambda^2}<\infty$.

Let $r\in L^1(0,a)$ be a positive function on $(0,a)$ and consider the Krein string operator

$$L = -\frac{1}{r(\xi)}\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} \tag{3.5}$$

acting in the weighted Hilbert space $L^2_r(0,a)$ and subject to the boundary conditions

$$y'(0)=\cos(\tilde{\beta})y(a)-\sin(\tilde{\beta})y'(a)=0. \tag{3.6}$$

Let also $c(z,\xi)$ and $s(z,\xi)$ be the fundamental solutions of

$$-y''=z\,r(\xi)y, \quad \xi\in[0,a], \tag{3.7}$$

such that

$$c(z,0) = s'(z,0) = 1, \quad c'(z,0) = s(z,0) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Define the corresponding m-function $M : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$y_\beta(z,\xi) := s(z,\xi) - M(z)c(z,\xi) \quad \text{satisfies (3.6) at } \xi = a. \tag{3.9}$$

The main aim of this section is to establish a connection between the operators L and H and hence between the m-coefficients $\tilde{m}$ and M.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $H \ge \varepsilon \mathbb{1}$ with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence the solution $\theta_0(x) := \theta(0,x)$ is positive on $(0,1]$. Then (see, e.g., [24, §14]), we set

$$\xi := \xi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\theta_0^2(t)}, \quad a := \xi(1), \quad r(\xi) := \theta_0^4(x), \tag{3.10}$$

and define the map $U : L^2(0,1) \to L^2_r(0,a)$ as follows

$$U : v(x) \to u(\xi) := \frac{1}{\theta_0(x)} v(x). \tag{3.11}$$

First of all, notice that $r \in L^1(0,a)$. Indeed, using (2.3), we get

$$\int_0^a r(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^1 \theta_0^4(x) \frac{dx}{\theta_0^2(x)} = \int_0^1 \theta_0^2(x) dx < \infty.$$

Hence the above definition is correct. Moreover, U is isometric:

$$\|Uv\|^2_{L^2_r} = \int_0^a |u(\xi)|^2 r(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^1 \Big|\frac{v(x)}{\theta_0(x)}\Big|^2 \theta_0^4(x) \frac{dx}{\theta_0^2(x)} = \int_0^1 |v|^2 dx = \|v\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Furthermore, it is not difficult to check that $\tilde{y} := Uy$ solves (3.7) if y is a solution of (2.2). Indeed, this is immediate from the following representation of (2.2) (cf. [24, §14])

$$-\theta_0^2(x) \frac{d}{dx}\Big(\theta_0^2(x) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{y}{\theta_0(x)}\Big) = z\, \theta_0^4(x)\, \frac{y}{\theta_0(x)}.$$

Finally, let us show that $c(z,\xi) = U\theta(z)$ and $s(z,\xi) = U\phi(z)$. Notice that

$$(Uy)(0) = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{y(z,x)}{\theta_0(x)} = \begin{cases} (2l+1) \lim_{x\to 0} x^l y(z,x), & l \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ -\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{y(z,x)}{\sqrt{x}\log(x)}, & l = -\frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\xi} Uy\Big|_{\xi=0} = \lim_{x\to 0} \theta_0^2(x) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{y}{\theta_0(x)} = \lim_{x\to 0} \big(y'\theta_0 - y\theta_0'\big) = \lim_{x\to 0} W_x(y,\theta_0).$$

Hence, using the representation of ϕ and θ from [31, §3], we easily compute that

$$(U\phi)(0) = 0, \quad (U\phi)'_\xi(0) = 1,$$

and

$$(U\theta)(0) = 1, \quad (U\theta)'_\xi(0) = 0.$$

Since both $U\phi$ and $U\theta$ solve (3.7), we are done. Similarly one computes

$$\cos(\tilde{\beta})(U\psi)(a) - \sin(\tilde{\beta})(U\psi)'(a) = 0, \qquad \cot(\tilde{\beta}) = \theta_0(1)\big(\cot(\beta) + 1\big). \tag{3.12}$$

Thus we proved the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $l \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ *and suppose* (1.2). *Let also* a, $r(\cdot)$, *and the unitary map* U *be defined by* (3.10)–(3.11). *If* β *and* $\tilde\beta$ *are connected by* (3.12), *then the operators* H *and* L *are unitarily equivalent:* $H = U^{-1}LU$. *Moreover, the fundamental solutions* (2.3) *and* (3.8) *are connected by*

$$c(z,\xi) = \big(U\phi(z)\big)(\xi), \quad s(z,\xi) = \big(U\theta(z)\big)(\xi),$$

and the corresponding m*-functions defined by* (3.3) *and* (3.9) *satisfy*

$$\tilde m(z) = M(z), \quad z \notin \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.13}$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let* $l \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ *and let* $H_{(0,c)}$ *be a self-adjoint operator associated with* (1.1) *on* $(0,c)$ *and subject to some separated boundary conditions at the endpoints* 0 *and* c. *Then the eigenvalues* $\lambda_n(c)$ *of* $H_{(0,c)}$ *satisfy*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n(c)}} = \frac{c}{\pi}. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. Due to the interlacing properties of eigenvalues, it suffices to prove the claim in the case of boundary conditions (3.2). By Lemma 3.1, the spectra of operators $H_{(0,c)}$ and $L_{(0,\xi(c))}$ coincide. However, by [24, §11.8], the eigenvalues $\lambda_n(\xi)\,(= \lambda_n(c))$ of L satisfy

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\sqrt{\lambda_n(\xi)}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^{\xi(c)} \sqrt{r(t)}dt = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^c \sqrt{\theta_0^4(t)}\frac{dt}{\theta_0^2(t)} = \frac{c}{\pi}.$$

□

Remark 3.3. *(i) Using commutation methods (see, e.g.,* [30]*),* (3.14) *can be extended to the case* $l \ge -1/2$. *Let us also mention that detailed eigenvalue asymptotics were obtained in* [28] *(see also* [1]*).*

(ii) Note that (3.14) *implies that the solutions* $\phi(z,x)$ *and* $\theta(z,x)$ *are entire functions in* z *of order* $1/2$ *and of finite type. Moreover, there is a standard iteration procedure to construct the solution* $c(z,x)$ *(see, e.g.,* [24, §2]*) and then, by using the Liouville transform, we obtain the solution* $\theta(z,x)$. *Let us mention that it is difficult to construct* $\theta(z,x)$ *directly by iteration. Indeed, for the iteration scheme to converge it is required that* q *must satisfy an additional assumption. For instance,* $q \in L^1(0,\varepsilon)$ *is required if* $l = 0$.

3.2. **Spectral asymptotics in the case** $l \in [-1/2, 1/2)$**.** Using the connection between the m-functions established in Lemma 3.1, we are able to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $l \in [-1/2, 1/2)$, *suppose* q *satisfies* (1.2), *and let* H *be given by* (1.1) *together with the boundary condition* (3.2). *Then the corresponding* m*-function* (3.3) *satisfies*

$$\tilde m(z) = -\frac{1}{m_l(z)}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \to \infty, \tag{3.15}$$

where m_l *is given by* (2.11). *The latter holds uniformly in any nonreal sector in* $\mathbb{C}_+$.

Proof. *(i) The case* $l \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. By (2.3) and Lemma A.2 from [31], we get

$$\xi(x) = (2l+1)x^{2l+1}\tilde\xi(x), \quad \tilde\xi \in W^{1,1}[0,1], \quad \tilde\xi(0) = 1.$$

Hence, ξ as a function of x has limit order $2l+1$ and by Lemma A.3, the inverse $x = x(\xi)$ of $\xi(x)$ has the following asymptotic behavior

$$x(\xi) = \Big(\frac{\xi}{2l+1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2l+1}}(1+o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad \xi \to 0.$$

Next, using [31, Lemma A.2], we obtain

$$R(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi} r(\xi)d\xi = \int_0^x \theta_0^2(t)dt = \frac{x^{1-2l}}{(2l+1)^2(1-2l)}(1+o(1)) = \frac{\xi^{\alpha}}{A_{\alpha}}(1+o(1)),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1-2l}{1+2l}, \quad A_{\alpha} := (1-2l)(1+2l)^{\frac{2l+3}{2l+1}}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem A.2, the m-function (3.9) corresponding to the operator L satisfies

$$M(z) = K_{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}} A_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(-z)^{-\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}(1+o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \to \infty; \quad K_{\nu} = \frac{\nu^{1-\nu}\Gamma(\nu)}{(1-\nu)^{\nu}\Gamma(1-\nu)}.$$

The latter holds uniformly in any nonreal sector in $\mathbb{C}_+$. Further, noting that

$$\frac{1}{1+\alpha} = l + \frac{1}{2},$$

after straightforward calculations we get

$$K_{l+\frac{1}{2}} A_{\alpha}^{l+\frac{1}{2}} = 2^{2l}(2l+1)^2\frac{\Gamma(1/2+l)}{\Gamma(1/2-l)} = \frac{\sin(\pi(l+\frac{1}{2}))}{C_l^2} \tag{3.16}$$

and Lemma 3.1 completes the proof.

(ii) The case $l = -\frac{1}{2}$. By (2.3), $\theta_0(x) = \sqrt{x}\log(x)\tilde{\theta}_0(x)$, where $\tilde{\theta}_0 \in W^{1,1}[0,1]$ and $\tilde{\theta}_0(0) = 1$. Hence by (3.10) we get

$$\xi = G(x) := \int_0^x \frac{dt}{t\log^2(t)\tilde{\theta}_0^2(t)}, \quad R(\xi) = P(x) := \int_0^x t\log^2(t)\tilde{\theta}_0^2(t)dt. \tag{3.17}$$

Set

$$G_0(x) := \int_0^x \frac{dt}{t\log^2(t)} = \frac{-1}{\log(x)}, P_0(x) := \int_0^x t\log^2(t)dt = \frac{x^2}{2}(\log^2(x) - \log(x) + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Note that P, P_0, G, and G_0 are absolutely continuous and strictly increasing. Let p, p_0, g, and g_0 be the corresponding inverses.

Firstly, since $\tilde{\theta}_0 \in W^{1,1}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_0(0) = 1$, we observe that

$$(G \circ g_0)(x) = \int_0^{g_0(x)} \frac{dt}{t\log^2(t)\tilde{\theta}_0^2(t)} = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{(\tilde{\theta}_0^2 \circ g_0)(t)} \sim x, \quad x \to 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Furthermore, by L'Hôpital's rule, $P \sim P_0$ and $G \sim G_0$ as $x \to 0$. Moreover,

$$\frac{P_0(x+o(x))}{P_0(x)} = (1+o(1))^2\frac{\log^2(x) - \log(x)(1+o(1)) + 1/2 + o(1)}{\log^2(x) - \log(x) + 1/2} \sim 1, \quad x \to 0,$$

and hence

$$P(x+o(x)) \sim P(x), \quad x \to 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Noting that $R(\xi) = (P \circ g)(\xi)$ and $R_0(\xi) = (P_0 \circ g_0)(\xi)$ and using (3.18) and (3.19), we get

$$\lim_{\xi \to 0}\frac{R(\xi)}{R_0(\xi)} = \lim_{\xi \to 0}\frac{(P \circ g)(\xi)}{(P_0 \circ g_0)(\xi)} = \lim_{x \to 0}\frac{(P \circ g \circ G_0)(x)}{P_0(x)} = 1. \tag{3.20}$$

The function R_0, given by

$$R_0(\xi) = (P_0 \circ g_0)(\xi) = \frac{2+2\xi+\xi^2}{4\xi^2 \mathrm{e}^{2/\xi}} \sim \tilde{R}_0(\xi) := \frac{1}{2\xi^2\mathrm{e}^{2/\xi}}, \quad \xi \to 0, \tag{3.21}$$

has limit order ∞ at 0 and hence, by (3.20), so has R. By Theorem A.2, the asymptotic behavior of $m(z)$ as $z \to \infty$ is determined by the function $f = F^{-1}$, where $F(\xi) := \frac{1}{\xi R(\xi)}$. By Lemma A.3, the inverse function $f = F^{-1}$ is asymptotically equal to the inverse $\tilde{f}_0$ of

$$\tilde{F}_0(\xi) := \frac{1}{\xi \tilde{R}_0(\xi)} = 2\xi \mathrm{e}^{2/\xi}.$$

Note that the function $\tilde{F}_0(\xi)$ is a bijection from $(0,2)$ to $(4\mathrm{e},\infty)$ whose inverse is given by

$$\tilde{f}_0(x) = -\frac{2}{W_m(-\frac{4}{x})},$$

where W_m is the second branch of the Lambert W-function [35, §4.13] which satisfies

$$-W_m(-\frac{1}{x}) = \log(x) + \log(\log(x)) + O\big(\frac{\log(\log(x))}{\log(x)}\big), \quad x \to +\infty \tag{3.22}$$

Finally, applying Theorem A.2, we get

$$m(\rho \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}) = \tilde{f}_0(\rho)(1+o(1)) = \frac{2}{\log(\rho)}(1+o(1)), \quad \rho \to \infty.$$

Lemma 3.1 completes the proof. □

Observe that the m-functions (2.4) and (3.3) are connected by $m(z) = -1/\tilde{m}(z)$. Therefore, the asymptotic formula (3.15) proves Theorem 2.1 in the case $l \in [-1/2, 1/2)$.

3.3. **Spectral asymptotics in the case $l \geq \frac{1}{2}$.** Finally the general case can be reduced to the previous one as in the proof of Corollary 3.11 from [30]. In fact, given $l = l_0 + k$ with $l_0 \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can perform a single commutation step to obtain an operator $\check{H}$ associated with $l-1$ and a new potential $\check{q}$ in the same class. Now taking into account that $\check{\phi}(z,x) = (2l+1)x^l(1+o(1))$ does not satisfy our normalization (2.3) [30, Thm. 3.7] implies $m(z) = (2l+1)^2(z-\lambda)\check{m}(z)$ and the claim follows by induction on k.

Remark 3.5. *As pointed in our introduction the solution $\theta(z,x)$ is only unique up to a term $f(z)\phi(z,x)$. Following* [31] *we will call $\theta(z,x)$ a* Frobenius solution *if*

$$\lim_{x\to 0} x^{-(l+1)} \frac{\partial^{(n_l+1)}}{\partial z^{(n_l+1)}} \theta(z,x) \equiv 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $n_l := \lfloor l + 1/2 \rfloor$. This will fix $f(z)$ up to a polynomial of degree n_l and ensure that $m(z)$ is in the generalized Nevalinna class $N_{\kappa_l}^{\infty}$, where $\kappa_l = \lfloor \frac{l}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \rfloor$ (we refer to [31] *for further details).*

It is easy to see that θ in Section 3.1 is a Frobenius solution. Moreover, the property of a singular solution θ to be Frobenius is invariant under a single commutation. Therefore, it follows from Remark 3.3 that a Frobenius solution θ is an entire function in z of growth order $1/2$ and of finite type.

4. Uniqueness results for the inverse spectral problem

As it was discussed in Remark 3.5, if we choose $\theta(z,x)$ to be a Frobenius solution, then the $m(z)$ is in the generalized Nevalinna class $N_{\kappa_l}^{\infty}$. This fixes $m(z)$ up to a polynomial of degree n_l and thus l can be read off from the asymptotics of $m(z)$ in this case.

This observation enables us to show the following improvement of Theorem 8.5 from [29].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $H(l_j,q_j)$, $j\in\{1,2\}$, be the operators defined in $L^2(0,b_j)$ by* (1.1)*. Assume that q_j satisfies* (1.2)*, $l_j\in[-\frac{1}{2},\infty)$, and $0<b_j\le+\infty$. Suppose m_j are defined via solutions $\theta_j(z,x)$, $\phi_j(z,x)$ which are entire functions in z of order less than* 1*.*

If for some $c\in(0,\min\{b_1,b_2\})$ there is a real entire function g such that for every $\varepsilon>0$

$$m_1(z)-m_2(z)=g(z)+O(\mathrm{e}^{-2(c-\varepsilon)|\mathrm{Im}(\sqrt{z})|}) \tag{4.1}$$

as $z\to\infty$ along some nonreal ray, then $l_1=l_2$ and $q_1(x)=q_2(x)$ for a.a. $x\in(0,c)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that θ_j are Frobenius solutions such that the m-functions m_j are generalized Nevanlinna functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{N}_{\kappa_j}^{\infty}$, $\kappa_j=\lfloor\frac{l_j}{2}+\frac{3}{4}\rfloor$. Moreover, (4.1) implies that g is bounded by a polynomial of degree at most $n:=\max\{\kappa_1,\kappa_2\}+1$ on two rays in $\mathbb{C}$ and hence, by the Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem, g is a polynomial of degree at most n.

Therefore, without loss of generality we can absorb $g(z)$ in the θ_j with the larger l_j and assume $g(z)=0$. But then we can read off l_j from the asymptotic behavior of $m_j(z)$ implying $l_1=l_2$. But this shows $\phi_1(z,x)$ and $\phi_2(z,x)$ have the same asymptotic behavior and the result follows from [29, Thm. 8.5]. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let H_j, $j\in\{1,2\}$, satisfy the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1. Let also the singular m-functions m_j be defined via Frobenius solutions.*

If for some $c\in(0,\min\{b_1,b_2\})$ there is a real entire function g such that (4.1) *holds for every $\varepsilon>0$ as $z\to\infty$ along some nonreal ray, then $l_1=l_2$ and $q_1(x)=q_2(x)$ for a.a. $x\in(0,c)$.*

Proof. It suffices to notice that according to Remark 3.5 Frobenius solutions are of order $1/2$ and then to apply Theorem 4.1. □

Since $m(z)$ is determined by its spectral measure $\rho(\lambda)$ up to an entire function ([29, Thm. 4.1]) we also obtain:

Corollary 4.3. *Let H_j, $j\in\{1,2\}$, satisfy the same assumptions as in Theorem 4.1. Let also m_1 and m_2 be some singular m-functions and ρ_1, ρ_2 be the corresponding spectral functions.*

If there is a real entire function g such that

$$m_1(z)=m_2(z)+g(z), \tag{4.2}$$

or equivalently $\rho_1=\rho_2$, then $l_1=l_2$, $b_1=b_2$ and $q_1(x)=q_2(x)$ for a.e. $x\in(0,b_1)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $m_j(z)$ are defined via Frobenius solutions (this will only change $g(z)$). But then $m_j(z)$ are generalized Nevanlinna functions and $g(z)$ can be at most a polynomial. Moreover, we can even absorb

$g(z)$ in the θ_j with the larger l_j and assume $g(z) = 0$ without loss of generality. Hence the result follows from the previous corollary. ☐

Using a different approach based on the theory of de Branges spaces, Corollary 4.3 was established by Eckhardt [8].

As another consequence we also obtain a generalization of item (ii) of Theorem 2.8 from [28].

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose H has purely discrete spectrum $\sigma(H) = \{\lambda_n\}$. Then the eigenvalues λ_n together with the norming constants*

$$\gamma_n^{-1} = \int_0^b \phi(\lambda_n, x)^2 dx \tag{4.3}$$

uniquely determine q and l.

Proof. This is immediate since in this case the spectrum is purely discrete and the spectral measure is uniquely determined by its jumps together with the jump heights $\rho(\{\lambda_n\}) = \gamma_n$. ☐

5. QUANTUM SCATTERING THEORY

In this section we want to look at the case where in addition to (1.2) the potential has the form

$$q(x) = \frac{\gamma}{x} + \tilde{q}(x), \qquad \tilde{q}(x) \in L^1(1,\infty),\ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.1}$$

5.1. The Jost function.

Recall that by Weidmann's theorem ([37, Thm. 9.38]) the spectrum of H is purely absolutely continuous on $(0,\infty)$ with an at most countable number of eigenvalues $\lambda_n \in (-\infty, 0]$.

Then it is easy to show (cf. [26]) that for $k \neq 0$ there exists a unique so called *Jost solution* of $\tau f = zf$ satisfying the asymptotic normalization

$$f(k,x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx - \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{2k}\log(x)}(1 + o(1)) \tag{5.2}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Here $k = \sqrt{z}$ with the branch cut along the positive real axis such that $0 \leq \arg(k) < 2\pi$. The Jost solution is analytic in the upper half plane and can be continuously extended to the real axis away from $k = 0$. We can extend it to the lower half plane by setting $f(k,x) = f(-k,x) = f(k^*,x)^*$ for $\mathrm{Im}(k) < 0$.

Its derivative satisfies

$$f'(k,x) = \left(\mathrm{i}k - \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{2kx}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx - \frac{\mathrm{i}\gamma}{2k}\log(x)}(1 + o(1)). \tag{5.3}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ we obtain two solutions $f(k,x)$ and $f(-k,x) = f(k,x)^*$ of the same equation whose Wronskian is given by

$$W(f(-k,.), f(k,.)) = 2\mathrm{i}k. \tag{5.4}$$

The Jost function is defined as

$$f(k) = W(f(k,.), \phi(k^2,.)) \tag{5.5}$$

and we also set

$$g(k) = W(f(k,.), \theta(k^2,.)) \tag{5.6}$$

such that

$$f(k,x) = f(k)\theta(k^2,x) - g(k)\phi(k^2,x) = f(k)\psi(k^2,x). \tag{5.7}$$

In particular, the Weyl m-function (2.4) is given by

$$m(k^2) = -\frac{g(k)}{f(k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{C}_+. \tag{5.8}$$

Note that both $f(k)$ and $g(k)$ are analytic in the upper half plane and $f(k)$ has simple zeros at $\mathrm{i}\kappa_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n} \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

Since $f(k,x)^* = f(-k,x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we obtain $f(k)^* = f(-k)$, $g(k)^* = g(-k)$. Moreover, (5.4) shows

$$\phi(k^2,x) = \frac{f(-k)}{2\mathrm{i}k} f(k,x) - \frac{f(k)}{2\mathrm{i}k} f(-k,x), \qquad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{5.9}$$

and by (5.7) we get

$$2\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}(f(k)g(k)^*) = f(k)g(k)^* - f(k)^* g(k) = W(f(-k,.), f(k,.)) = 2\mathrm{i}k. \tag{5.10}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{Im}(m(k^2)) = -\frac{\mathrm{Im}\big(f(k)^* g(k)\big)}{|f(k)|^2} = \frac{k}{|f(k)|^2}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \tag{5.11}$$

implying

$$d\rho(\lambda) = \chi_{(0,\infty)}(\lambda) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi |f(\sqrt{\lambda})|^2} d\lambda + \sum_n \gamma_n d\theta(\lambda - \lambda_n), \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(\lambda_n, x)^2 dx \right)^{-1} \tag{5.13}$$

are the usual norming constants. Since $-\gamma_n$ equals the residue of $m(z)$ at λ_n we obtain

$$\dot{f}(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n) = -2\mathrm{i}\kappa_n \frac{g(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n)}{\gamma_n}, \qquad f(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n, x) = g(\mathrm{i}\kappa_n)\phi(\lambda_n, x). \tag{5.14}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and (5.12),

$$|f(k)| = \frac{|k|^{-l}}{C_l}(1 + o(1)), \quad k \to \infty. \tag{5.15}$$

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.3 we obtain

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose the potential q is locally integrable and satisfies* (1.2) *near* 0 *and* (5.1) *near* ∞. *Then the absolute value of the Jost function* (5.5) *on the real line together with the norming constants* (5.13) *and the eigenvalues determines the value of the angular momentum l and the potential q uniquely.*

5.2. **The phase shift.** In this subsection, we assume that the potential q belongs to *the Marchenko class*, i.e., in addition to (1.2), q also satisfies

$$\int_1^\infty x|\tilde{q}(x)|dx < \infty, \quad \tilde{q}(x) = \begin{cases} q(x), & l > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ \log(x) q(x), & l = -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

The Jost solution of $\tau f = k^2 f$ is defined to satisfy the following asymptotic normalization

$$f(k,x) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(kx - \frac{l\pi}{2})}(1 + o(1)) \tag{5.17}$$

as $x \to \infty$. Again $k = \sqrt{z}$ with the branch cut along the positive real axis such that $0 \le \arg(k) < 2\pi$. By the previous considerations, the Jost solution is analytic in the upper half plane and can be continuously extended to the real axis away from $k = 0$. We can extend it to the lower half plane by setting $f(k,x) = f(-k,x) = f(k^*,x)^*$ for $\mathrm{Im}(k) < 0$.

The Jost function $f(.)$ is defined by (5.5). Notice that $f(-k) = f(k)^*$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Set $f(k) = |f(k)|\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\delta(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. Note that $\delta(-k) = -\delta(k)$ and hence, by (5.9), we obtain

$$\phi(k^2,x) = \frac{|f(k)|}{k}\sin\left(kx - \frac{l\pi}{2} + \delta(k)\right)(1+o(1)), \qquad x \to \infty. \tag{5.18}$$

The function $\delta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called *the phase shift* and *the scattering matrix* is defined by

$$S(k) = \frac{f(-k)}{f(k)} = \mathrm{e}^{2\mathrm{i}\delta(k)}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{5.19}$$

Remark 5.2. *In the case $q(x) = 0$ we have*

$$\phi_l(z,x) = \frac{z^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}}}{C_l}\sqrt{\tfrac{\pi x}{2}}J_{l+\frac12}(\sqrt{z}x), \tag{5.20}$$

$$f_l(k,x) = \mathrm{i}\sqrt{\tfrac{\pi x k}{2}}H^{(1)}_{l+1/2}(kx). \tag{5.21}$$

Therefore,

$$f_l(k) = \frac{k^{-l}}{C_l}, \quad \mathrm{Im}\,k \ge 0 \tag{5.22}$$

and hence

$$S_l(k) = 1, \quad \delta_l(k) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{5.23}$$

Next we are going to show that $f(k)$ is uniquely determined by its phase $\delta(k)$. Firstly, observe that the Jost solution (5.17) has the form

$$f(k,x) = C_l^{-1}k^{-l}\tilde{\psi}(k,x), \quad \mathrm{Im}\,k \ge 0, \tag{5.24}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}$ is given by (B.17). Therefore, by Lemma B.5, the function

$$F(k) = C_l k^l f(k) \tag{5.25}$$

is an analytic function in $\mathrm{Im}\,k > 0$ satisfying (B.27). By (B.26) it extends continuously to the boundary if $l > -\frac12$ and the same is true in the critical case $l = -\frac12$ except for a possible logarithmic singularity at 0.

Moreover, (B.25) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta(k)}{1+|k|}dk < \infty. \tag{5.26}$$

Indeed, $\delta(.)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{R}$ and, by (B.27), near infinity it behaves like $\mathrm{Im}\,F$. Hence combining

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\psi_l(k^2,x) = \phi_l(k^2,x)\mathrm{Im}\,m_l(k^2) = \frac{C_l^2}{\pi}k^{2l+1}\phi_l(k^2,x).$$

with (B.4), (B.25) and Fubini's theorem, we obtain the claimed integrability

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\mathrm{Im}F(k)}{k}dk \le C\int_0^\infty x|q(x)|\int_1^\infty \frac{(kx)^{2l}x}{(1+kx)^{2l+2}}dk\,dx \le \tilde{C}\int_0^\infty x|\tilde{q}(x)|dx.$$

Since q satisfies (1.2) and (5.16), the Bargmann bound (see, e.g., [4]) implies that H has only a finite number of negative eigenvalues N. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Im}\log\left(\frac{C_l}{k^{-l}}\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\frac{\kappa_n^2}{k^2}\right)^{-1} f(k)\right)$$

is in the Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_+)$ and (cf. [27]) we arrive at the following representation of the Jost function

$$f(k) = \frac{k^{-l}}{C_l}\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1+\frac{\kappa_n^2}{k^2}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\delta(t)}{t-k}dt\right). \tag{5.27}$$

Now Theorem 5.1 immediately implies the following result.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose the potential q is locally integrable and satisfies* (1.2) *near* 0 *and* (5.16) *near* ∞. *Then the phase shift* (5.18) *together with the value of the angular momentum l, the norming constants, and the eigenvalues determine the potential q uniquely.*

Appendix A. Asymptotic estimates for m-functions

In this appendix we collect some required results from [3]. Consider the Sturm–Liouville differential expression

$$-y'' + q(x)y = z\,r(x)y, \quad x \in (0,b),$$

where $q, r \in L^1_{loc}(0,b)$ are real valued and $r > 0$ a.e. on $(0,b)$. We assume that the endpoint $x = 0$ is regular, i.e., $q, r \in L^1(0,c)$ for any $c \in (0,b)$. Let $m(\cdot)$ be the m-functions corresponding to the Neumann boundary condition at $x = 0$ such that

$$s(z,x) + m(z)c(z,x) \tag{A.1}$$

is square integrable near b and satisfies a given boundary condition at b in the limit circle case.

Define the following function

$$R(x) := \int_0^x r(t)dt \tag{A.2}$$

and note that $R \in W^{1,1}(0,c)$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0,b)$.

Definition A.1. *We will say that R has limit order $\alpha \in [0,\infty)$ at $x = 0$ if for positive s*

$$\lim_{x\to 0}\frac{R(sx)}{R(x)} = s^\alpha. \tag{A.3}$$

R has a limit order ∞ at $x = 0$ if the limit in (A.3) *equals ∞ for all $s > 1$.*

Define also the function f as the inverse of

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{xR(x)}.$$

Note that $f(y) \to 0$ as $y \to +\infty$. The following result is a particular case of Theorem 4.1 from [3] (see also [25, Theorem 2]).

Theorem A.2 ([3, 25])**.** *Assume that R has limit order α at $x = 0$. Then any m-function corresponding to the Neumann condition at $x = 0$ satisfies*

$$m(\mu\rho) = \frac{K_\nu}{(-\mu)^\nu} f(\rho)(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}, \quad K_\nu = \frac{\nu^{1-\nu}\Gamma(\nu)}{(1-\nu)^\nu \Gamma(1-\nu)}. \tag{A.5}$$

The estimates holds uniformly for μ in any compact set of $\mathbb{C}_+$. Also, $K_\nu = 1$ for $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$.

Since it will in general not be possible to compute f explicitly, we will rely on the following known fact which follows upon combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 from [7]:

Lemma A.3 ([7])**.** *Let R_0, R be two functions as in* (A.2) *and define corresponding functions f_0, f as above. Suppose R_0 has a limit order $\alpha \in (0, +\infty]$ and $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{R(x)}{R_0(x)} = 1$. Then $\lim_{y\to+\infty} \frac{f(y)}{f_0(y)} = 1$.*

Remark A.4. *Theorem A.2 was first established by I.S. Kac* [23] *and Y. Kasahara* [25] *for the case $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. The current form of Theorem A.2 was found by C. Bennewitz* [3]*. Moreover, the converse statement has been established independently in* [3] *and* [25].

Appendix B. Some estimates for the spherical Schrödinger equation

In this appendix we want to describe some properties of the solutions of the spherical Schrödinger equation which are crucial for Section 5.

The first two lemmas contain estimates for the Green function

$$G_l(z, x, y) = \phi_l(z, x)\theta_l(z, y) - \phi_l(z, y)\theta_l(z, x) \tag{B.1}$$

and the regular solution $\phi(z, x)$ (see, e.g., [28, Lemmas 2.2, A.1, A.2]). Here

$$\phi_l(z, x) = C_l^{-1} z^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x), \tag{B.2}$$

and

$$\theta_l(z, x) = -C_l z^{\frac{2l+1}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{\sin((l+\frac{1}{2})\pi)} J_{-l-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x), & l + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{N}_0, \\ Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x) - \frac{1}{\pi}\log(z) J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}x), & l + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}_0, \end{cases} \tag{B.3}$$

where $J_{l+1/2}$ and $Y_{l+1/2}$ are the usual Bessel and Neumann functions (see [35, Chapter 10]). We will abbreviate $k = \sqrt{z}$, $0 \le \arg(z) < 2\pi$.

Lemma B.1 ([28])**.** *For $l > -\frac{1}{2}$ the following estimates hold:*

$$|\phi_l(k^2, x)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1 + |k|x}\right)^{l+1} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|x}, \tag{B.4}$$

$$|G_l(k^2, x, y)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1 + |k|x}\right)^{l+1} \left(\frac{1 + |k|y}{y}\right)^{l} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|(x-y)}, \quad y \le x, \tag{B.5}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_l(k^2,x,y)\right| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^l \left(\frac{1+|k|y}{y}\right)^l \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|(x-y)}, \quad y \le x. \tag{B.6}$$

For the case $l = -\frac{1}{2}$ *formula* (B.4) *remains valid and one has to replace* (B.5) *and* (B.6) *by*

$$|G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x,y)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x} \cdot \frac{y}{1+|k|y}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|(x-y)} \big(1 - \log(\frac{|k|y}{1+|k|y})\big), \quad y \le x, \tag{B.7}$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x,y)\right| \le C \left(\frac{y+|k|xy}{x+|k|xy}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|(x-y)} \big(1 - \log(\frac{|k|y}{1+|k|y})\big), \quad y \le x. \tag{B.8}$$

Lemma B.2 ([28])**.** *Assume* (1.2) *and set* $\tilde{q}(x) = q(x)$ *if* $l > -1/2$ *and* $\tilde{q}(x) = (1 - \log(\frac{x}{1+x}))q(x)$ *if* $l = -1/2$. *Then* $\phi(z,x)$ *satisfies the integral equation*

$$\phi(z,x) = \phi_l(z,x) + \int_0^x G_l(z,x,y) q(y) \phi(z,y) dy. \tag{B.9}$$

Moreover, ϕ *is entire in* z *for every* $x > 0$ *and satisfies the estimate*

$$|\phi(k^2,x) - \phi_l(k^2,x)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l+1} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|x} \int_0^x \frac{y\tilde{q}(y)}{1+|k|y} dy. \tag{B.10}$$

The derivative is given by

$$\phi'(z,x) = \phi_l'(z,x) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_l(z,x,y) q(y) \phi(z,y) dy \tag{B.11}$$

and satisfies the estimate

$$|\phi'(k^2,x) - \phi_l'(k^2,x)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{l} \mathrm{e}^{|\mathrm{Im}\, k|x} \int_0^x \frac{y\tilde{q}(y)}{1+|k|y} dy. \tag{B.12}$$

Next we need some estimates for the Weyl solution $\psi(z,x)$. We begin with some basic properties of the unperturbed Bessel equation.

Lemma B.3. *Let* $\psi_l(z,x)$ *be the Weyl solution of the unperturbed Bessel equation,*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_l(z,x) &= \theta_l(z,x) + m_l(z,x)\phi_l(z,x) = \mathrm{i} C_l (\mathrm{i}\sqrt{-z})^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathrm{i}\sqrt{-z}x) \\ &= \mathrm{i} C_l k^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi x}{2}} H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kx) \end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

where m_l *is given by* (2.11) *and* $H^{(1)}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}$ *is the Hankel function of the first kind.*

If $l > -1/2$, *then* $\psi_l(k^2,x)$ *is analytic in* $\mathrm{Im}\, k > 0$, *continuous in* $\mathrm{Im}\, k \ge 0$ *and*

$$|\psi_l(k^2,x)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{-l} \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\, k|x}. \tag{B.14}$$

If $l = -1/2$, *then* $\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x)$ *is analytic in* $\mathrm{Im}\, k > 0$, *continuous in* $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ *and*

$$|\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x)| \le C \left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \log\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|\mathrm{Im}\, k|x}. \tag{B.15}$$

Moreover,

$$\sqrt{k}\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x) \sim -\sqrt{kx}\log(kx), \quad kx \to 0. \tag{B.16}$$

Using the standard technique (see, e.g., [4, Chapter I.5]), one can prove the following

Lemma B.4. *Assume* (5.16)*. Then there is a solution* $\tilde{\psi}(z,x)$ *satisfying the integral equation*

$$\tilde{\psi}(z,x) = \psi_l(z,x) - \int_x^\infty G_l(z,x,y)q(y)\tilde{\psi}(z,y)dy. \tag{B.17}$$

Moreover, if $l > -1/2$*, then* $\tilde{\psi}(k^2,x)$ *is analytic in* $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$*, continuous in* $\operatorname{Im} k \ge 0$ *and it satisfies the estimate*

$$|\tilde{\psi}(k^2,x) - \psi_l(k^2,x)| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{-l} \mathrm{e}^{-|\operatorname{Im} k|\,x} \int_x^\infty \frac{yq(y)}{1+|k|y}dy. \tag{B.18}$$

If $l = -1/2$*, then* $\tilde{\psi}(k^2,x)$ *is analytic in* $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$*, continuous in* $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ *and*

$$|\tilde{\psi}(k^2,x) - \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x)| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \log\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|\operatorname{Im} k|\,x} \int_x^\infty \frac{y\tilde{q}(y)}{1+|k|y}dy. \tag{B.19}$$

Moreover,

$$|\sqrt{k}\tilde{\psi}(k^2,x)| = O\big(-\sqrt{|k|x}\log(|k|x)\big), \quad |k|x \to 0. \tag{B.20}$$

The derivative is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}'(z,x) = \psi_l'(z,x) - \int_x^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_l(z,x,y)q(y)\tilde{\psi}(z,y)dy \tag{B.21}$$

and satisfies the estimate

$$|\tilde{\psi}'(k^2,x) - \psi_l'(k^2,x)| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{-l-1} \mathrm{e}^{-|\operatorname{Im} k|\,x} \int_x^\infty \frac{yq(y)}{1+|k|y}dy. \tag{B.22}$$

if $l > -1/2$ *and*

$$|\tilde{\psi}'(k^2,x) - \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}'(k^2,x)| \le C\left(\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \log\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-|\operatorname{Im} k|\,x} \int_x^\infty \frac{y\tilde{q}(y)}{1+|k|y}dy. \tag{B.23}$$

if $l = -1/2$.

Finally, consider the following function

$$F(k) := W(\phi(k^2,.), \tilde{\psi}(k^2,.)), \quad \operatorname{Im} k \ge 0,\ k \ne 0. \tag{B.24}$$

Lemma B.5. *Assume* (1.2) *and* (5.16)*. Then the function* F *admits the following integral representation*

$$F(k) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \psi_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx = 1 + \int_0^\infty \tilde{\psi}(k^2,x)\phi_l(k^2,x)q(x)dx. \tag{B.25}$$

Moreover,

$$F(k) = c\, m_l(k^2) + \tilde{F}(k^2), \qquad c \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{B.26}$$

where m_l *is given by* (2.11) *and* $\tilde{F}$ *is entire, and*

$$F(k) = 1 + o(1) \tag{B.27}$$

as $|k| \to \infty$ *in* $\operatorname{Im} k \geq 0$.

Proof. To prove the integral representations (B.25), we need to replace ϕ and ψ in (B.24) by (B.9) and (B.17), respectively, use the asymptotic estimates for ϕ, ψ and G_l, and then take the limits $x \to +\infty$ and $x \to 0$.

To prove the second claim, we observe that by (B.4) and (B.14)

$$|\psi_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)| \leq C\frac{x}{1+|k|x}, \quad l > -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{B.28}$$

$$|\psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)| \leq C\frac{x}{1+|k|x}\left(1 - \log\left(\frac{|k|x}{1+|k|x}\right)\right), \quad l = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{B.29}$$

which immediately implies (B.27).

Finally, the integral representation (B.25) implies

$$F(k) = 1 + \int_0^\infty \theta_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx + m_l(k^2)\int_0^\infty \phi_l(k^2,x)\phi(k^2,x)q(x)dx$$

and hence the properties of c and $\tilde{F}$ follow from the corresponding properties of $\phi(k,x)$ and $\theta_l(k^2,x)$, $\phi_l(k^2,x)$, $m_l(k^2)$. □

Remark B.6. *(i) It is immediate from* (B.26) *that* F *is analytic in* $\operatorname{Im} k > 0$ *and bounded and continuous in* $\operatorname{Im} k \geq 0$ *if* $l > -1/2$.

(ii) By choosing q *to be a characteristic function in* (B.25)*, one sees that* c *in* (B.26) *is nonzero in general. In particular, if* $l = -1/2$*, then*

$$F(k) = c\log(-k^2) + \tilde{F}(k^2), \qquad c \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{B.30}$$

where $\tilde{F}$ *is entire.*

Acknowledgments. We thank Fritz Gesztesy and Mark Malamud for several helpful discussions and hints with respect to the literature.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, R. Hryniv, and Ya. Mykytyuk, *Inverse spectral problems for Bessel operators*, J. Diff. Eqs. **241**, 130–159 (2007).

[2] S. Albeverio, R. Hryniv, and Ya. Mykytyuk, *Scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with Bessel-type potentials*, J. Reine und Angew. Math. (to appear).

[3] C. Bennewitz, *Spectral asymptotics for Sturm–Liouville equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **(3) 59**, 294–338 (1989).

[4] K. Chadan and P.C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1989.

[5] J. Cheeger and M. Taylor, *On the diffraction of waves by conical singularities, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **25** 275–331 (1982).

[6] M. Coz and C. Coudray, *The Riemann solution and the inverse quantum mechanical problem*, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 888–893 (1976).

[7] D. Djurčić, A. Togašev, and J. Ješić, *The strong asymptotic equivalence and the generalized inverse*, Sib. Math. J. **49**, 628–636 (2008).

[8] J. Eckhardt, *Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials and de Branges spaces*, arXiv:1105.6355, 10 pp. (2011).

[9] J. Eckhardt and G. Teschl, *Uniqueness results for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with purely discrete spectra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[10] W. N. Everitt, *On a property of the m-coefficient of a second order linear differential equation*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **4**, 443–457 (1972).

[11] L. Faddeev, *The inverse problem in quantum scattering theory*, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 72–104 (1963).

[12] C. Fulton, *Titchmarsh–Weyl m-functions for second order Sturm–Liouville problems*, Math. Nachr. **281**, 1417–1475 (2008).

[13] C. Fulton and H. Langer, *Sturm–Liouville operators with singularities and generalized Nevanlinna functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **4**, 179–243 (2010).

[14] C. Fulton, H. Langer, and A. Luger, *Mark Krein's method of directing functionals and singular potentials*, Math. Nachr. (to appear).

[15] F. Gesztesy, W. Plessas, and B. Thaller, *On the high-energy behaviour of scattering phase shifts for Coulomb-like potentials*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **13**, 2659–2671 (1980).

[16] F. Gesztesy and B. Thaller, *Born expansions for Coulomb-type interactions*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **14**, 639–657 (1981).

[17] F. Gesztesy and M. Zinchenko, *On spectral theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials*, Math. Nachr. **279**, 1041–1082 (2006).

[18] J.-C. Guillot and J.V. Ralston, *Inverse spectral theory for a singular Sturm–Liouville operator on* $[0,1]$, J. Diff. Eqs. **76**, 353–373 (1988).

[19] E. Hille, *Green's transform and singular boundary value problems*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **42**, 331–349 (1963).

[20] R. Jost, *Über die falschen Nullstellen der Eigenwerte der S-Matrix*, Helv. Phys. Acta **20**, 256–266 (1947).

[21] I. S. Kac, *The existence of spectral functions of generalized second order differential systems with boundary conditions at the singular end*, Mat. Sbornik **68**, 174–227 (1965); *English transl. in:* AMS Translations (2) **62**, 204–262 (1967).

[22] I. S. Kac, *Integral characteristics of the growth of spectral functions for generalized second order boundary problems with boundary conditions at a regular end*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **35**, 154–184 (1971); *English transl. in:* Math. Ussr–Izv. **5**, 161–191 (1973).

[23] I. S. Kac, *A generalization of the asymptotic formula of V.A. Marchenko for the spectral function of a second order boundary value problem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **37**, 422–436 (1973); *English transl. in:* Math. Ussr–Izv. **7**, 424–436 (1973).

[24] I. S. Kac and M. G. Krein, *On the spectral function of the string*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. (2), **103**, 19–102 (1974).

[25] Y. Kasahara, *Spectral theory of generalized second order differential operators and its applications to Markov processes*, Japan. J Math. **1**, no. 1, 67–84 (1975).

[26] K. Kodaira, *The eigenvalue problem for ordinary differential equations of the second order and Heisenberg's theory of S-matrices*, Amer. J. Math. **71**, 921–945 (1949).

[27] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p Spaces*, 2nd ed., Cambridge UP, Cambridge 1998.

[28] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich, and G. Teschl, *Inverse eigenvalue problems for perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, Inverse Problems **26**, 105013, 14pp (2010).

[29] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich, and G. Teschl, *Weyl–Titchmarsh theory for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2012**, Art. ID rnr065, 49pp (2012).

[30] A. Kostenko, A. Sakhnovich, and G. Teschl, *Commutation methods for Schrödinger operators with strongly singular potentials*, Math. Nachr. **285**, 392–410 (2012).

[31] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *On the singular Weyl–Titchmarsh function of perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, J. Diff. Eqs. **250**, 3701–3739 (2011).

[32] M. Lesch and B. Vertman, *Regular-singular Sturm-Liouville operators and their zeta-determinants*, J. Funct.l Anal. **261**, 408–450 (2011).

[33] V. A. Marchenko, *Some questions in the theory of one-dimensional linear differential operators of the second order, I*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. **1**, 372–420 (1952); English. transl. in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series (2), **101**, 1–104 (1972).

[34] V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm–Liouville Operators and Applications: Revised Edition*, Amer. Math. Soc., Chealsea Publ., Rhode Island, 2011.

[35] F. W. J. Olver et al., *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[36] F. Serier, *The inverse spectral problem for radial Schrödinger operators on* $[0,1]$, J. Diff. Eqs. **235**, 101–126 (2007).

[37] G. Teschl, *Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics; With Applications to Schrödinger Operators*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 2009.

[38] J. Weidmann, *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1258**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstrasse 15, 1090 Wien, Austria
E-mail address: `duzer80@gmail.com;Oleksiy.Kostenko@univie.ac.at`

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstrasse 15, 1090 Wien, Austria, and International Erwin Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics, Boltzmanngasse 9, 1090 Wien, Austria
E-mail address: `Gerald.Teschl@univie.ac.at`
URL: `http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~gerald/`